

**STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY**

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State Agencies (SA) to report the results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the SA to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each School Food Authority (SFA) on the SA publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

**School Food Authority Name: Central Dauphin School District**

**School Agreement Number: 115-22-140-2**

**Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): February 25, 2019**

**Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority (SFA): February 27, 2019**

**General Program Participation**

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)

[x]  School Breakfast Program

[x]  National School Lunch Program

[x]  Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program

[ ]  Afterschool Snack

[ ]  Special Milk Program

[ ]  Seamless Summer Option

1. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)

[x]  Community Eligibility Provision

[ ]  Special Provision 1

[ ]  Special Provision 2

[ ]  Special Provision 3

**Review Findings**

1. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?

[x]  Yes [ ]  No

1. Is there fiscal action associated with findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?

[x]  Yes [ ]  No

|  |
| --- |
| **REVIEW FINDINGS** |
| 1. **Program Access and Reimbursement**
 |
| **YES** | **NO** |  |
| [ ]  | [x]  | **Certification and Benefit Issuance** – Validation of the SFA certification of students’ eligibility for free or reduced-price meals |
| Finding Detail: |
| [ ]  | [x]  | **Verification** – Validation of the process used by the SFA to confirm selected students’ eligibility for free and reduced-price meals |
| Finding Detail:  |
| [ ]  | [x]  | **Meal Counting and Claiming** – Validation of the SFA meal counting and claiming system that accurately counts, records, consolidates, and reports the number of reimbursable meals claimed, by category |
| Finding Detail: |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality**
 |
| **YES** | **NO** |  |
| [x]  | [ ]  | **Meal Components and Quantities** – Validation that meals claimed for reimbursement contain the required meal components (also referred to as food components) and quantities |
| Finding Detail: 1. During the lunch meal service, a student approached the Cashier without a reimbursable meal, but the Cashier claimed the meal. The student did not select the required 1/2 fruit or 1/2 cup vegetable requirement
 |
| [x]  | [ ]  | **Offer versus Serve (provision that allows students to decline some of the food components offered)** – Validation of SFA compliance with OVS requirements if applicable |
| Finding Detail: 1. During the breakfast observation, the staff did not implement OVS correctly. The students entered the cafeteria to obtain their meal, the staff prepares a bagged breakfast for the students so that the students can sort of grab - n go and eat in their classrooms. With the prepackaged bagged breakfast, the students aren't allowed an opportunity to choose their meal items; the only items the student were allowed to choose was the milk and fruit juice. The bagged breakfast included 1 oz cereal, a doughnut sticks and an applesauce cup.
 |
| [x]  | [ ]  | **Dietary Specifications and Nutrient** **Analysis** – Validation that meals offered to children through the school meal programs are consistent with federal standards for calories, saturated fat, sodium, and *trans* fat |
| Finding Detail:1. The menu for lunch for the targeted site, Central Dauphin SHS and the food component reports for the non-target sites, Rutherford Elm and Linglestown Elem did not meet the 100 percent requirement for serving of Whole Grain Rich (WGR) foods/products. The SFA did not obtain a WGR waiver from PDE for the 2018/19 school year.
 |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **School Nutrition Environment**
 |
| **YES** | **NO** |  |
| [ ]  | [x]  | **Food Safety** – Validation that all selected schools meet the food safety and storage requirements, and comply with the Buy American provisions specified by regulation |
| Finding Detail: |
| [ ]  | [x]  | **Local School Wellness Policy** – Review of the SFA’s established Local School Wellness Policy  |
| Finding Detail: |
| [ ]  | [x]  | **Competitive Foods** – Validation of the SFA compliance with regulations for all food and beverages to students outside of the reimbursable meal |
| Finding Detail: |
| [ ]  | [x]  | **Professional Standards** – Validation of SFA compliance with required hiring standards and annual training requirements  |
| Finding Detail: |

|  |
| --- |
| **D. Civil Rights** |
| **YES** | **NO** |  |
| [ ]  | [x]  | **Civil Rights –** Validation of SFA compliance with civil rights requirements as applicable to the Child Nutrition Programs |
| Finding Detail:  |

|  |
| --- |
| **E. Resource Management** |
| **YES** | **NO** |  |
| [ ]  | [x]  | **Resource Management –** Validation of SFA compliance with overall financial health of the school food service account |
| Finding Detail: |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| [ ]  | [ ]  | **Other** |
| Finding Detail: |

|  |
| --- |
| **COMMENDATIONS** |
| **F. Recognition of Accomplishment** |
| * The SFA was very accommodating throughout the review process and gathered additional requested documents quickly. The SFA implemented a good edit check claiming system regarding their monthly claim.
 |